COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

CHARLES BEAMS

COMPLAINANT

VS.

CASE NO. 92-454

LAKE VILLAGE WATER COMPANY

DEFENDANT

ORDER TO SATISFY OR ANSWER

Lake Village Water Company ("Lake Village") is hereby notified that it has been named as defendant in a formal complaint filed on October 26, 1992, a copy of which is attached hereto.

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 12, Lake Village is HEREBY ORDERED to satisfy the matters complained of or to file a written answer to the complaint within 10 days from the date of service of this Order.

Should documents of any kind be filed with the Commission in the course of this proceeding, the documents shall also be served on all parties of record.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this

29th day of October, 1992.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Vice-Chairman

Commissioner . Nouve

ATTEST:

Executive Director

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

REOFMED

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:	yet 26 to 24 an '32	
	PHBLIDS MOF	
Charles Beams	PUBLIC ST. MICE COMMIT THE	
(Your Full Name) COMPLAINANT)		
vs.		
}	92-454	
Lake Village Water Co. (Name of Utility)		
DEFENDANT)		
COMPLAINT		
The complaint of Charles Beams (Your Full Name)	respectfully shows:	
(a) Charles Beams		
(Your Full Name)		
11556 64th Ave. North Seminole, Flor	lda 34642	
(Your Address)		
(b) Lake Village Water Co.		
(Name of Utility)		
Burgin, Ky. 40310 (Address of Utility)	•	
(c) That: I developed 29 lots in Mercer Con	inty and tapped	
(Describe here, attaching acon existing line with 2800 feet of 3	ditional sheets if inch line, using	
the proper cut off and blow out. this	was put in under	
necessary, the specific act, fully are the supervision of the water company	id clearly, or facts inspector,	
Mr. Frank Brown. Their attorney at a that are the reason and basis for the	board of directors	
meeting agreed to accept this. Approx	imately 11 homeowners	
are using this water at present, with	more homes planned.	

Formal Complaint

Charles Beams	vs	Lake Village Water Co.
(Your Name)		(Utility Name)

Page 2

of

(Month)

The Lake Village Water Co. has refused to reimburse me of any expenses. They charge \$300.00 for each tap and I know it should not cost over \$100.00 to install a meter. I feel they are making about \$200.00 profit at my expense for each meter installed. I went to the Lake Village Water Co. in 1987 with my receipts, which came to over \$4.00 per foot. I have meetings with them since and they have refused to rebateme anything. At the first board meeting I went to, with my attorney, they agreed to accept the line if I would add their name to the utility easement, Which I did. They have been using this line for over 5 years. The plans for this project were approved by the NaturalResources and Environmental Protection Cabinet in May 1987, a copy was sent to your office. Wherefore, complainant asks To be reimbursed at the prevailing (Specifically state the rate for each meter installed according to the provisions relief desired.) contained in 807 KAR 5:066 Section 12 of the commission' rules which relate to water. Florida Dated at Seminole Rentocky, this 21 day (Your City) October , 19⁹².

Charles Beaner
(Your Signature)